



Quantitating the concentration of Py-Im polyamide–fluorescein conjugates in live cells

Carey F. Hsu, Peter B. Dervan *

Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 April 2008

Revised 14 May 2008

Accepted 15 May 2008

Available online 20 May 2008

Keywords:

Gene regulation

Fluorescence

Confocal microscopy

Flow cytometry

ABSTRACT

Quantitative fluorescence-based methods have been developed to determine the nuclear concentration of polyamide–fluorescein conjugates in cell culture. Confocal laser scanning microscopy and flow cytometry techniques are utilized to plot calibration curves, from which the nuclear concentration can be interpolated. Upon treatment with polyamide, the concentration in the nucleus of live HeLa cells is calculated to be between 0.1 and 0.5 μM , which is significantly lower than the 2 μM dosage concentration. In contrast, the observed nuclear concentration in U251 cells is closer to the dosage concentration, indicating a cell line-specific increase in uptake for this class of compounds. Although confocal microscopy and flow cytometry generate disparate values, taken together these experiments suggest that the polyamide concentration inside the cell nucleus is lower than it is outside the cell.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Hairpin pyrrole–imidazole polyamides are synthetic ligands that target predetermined DNA sequences with affinities comparable to those of naturally occurring DNA-binding proteins.^{1,2} These cell-permeable small molecules have been shown to localize to the nucleus of living cells^{3–7} and regulate endogenous gene expression.^{8–12} Polyamides selectively bind in the minor groove of DNA according to a set of ‘pairing rules,’ where each heterocyclic ring pair targets a specific Watson–Crick base pair.^{1,2} The antiparallel pairing of *N*-methylpyrrole (Py) and *N*-methylimidazole (Im) aromatic rings targets the C–G base pair, while Im/Py discriminates G–C.^{13–16} The Py/Py pair recognizes A–T and T–A, while chlorothiophene can be paired with Py to distinguish T–A at the N-terminus.¹⁷

Polyamide–small molecule conjugates have been utilized in a number of applications.^{18–21} In particular, with laser microscopy it is possible to visualize the uptake of polyamide–fluorophore conjugates as they traffic unaided to the nucleus of live cells.^{3–6} This advance has helped to usher in an era of gene regulation.^{8–11} Traditionally, we have used a qualitative scoring system to rate the extent of nuclear localization in cell culture.^{5,6} Upon incubation with polyamide and direct imaging of cells, the fluorescence intensity in the nucleus is compared to that in the medium. Positive scores are assigned when the nuclear staining exceeds that of the medium. The degree of nuclear localization varies across polyamide design, fluorophore selection, and cell type.^{3–6} Quantitating the polyamide concentration within the cell nucleus would be an improvement over the current ‘yes/no’ rating, thus creating a digital readout of cellular uptake.

Our quantitative approach utilizes two different fluorescent imaging technologies. First, with confocal laser scanning microscopy, we can compare images of cells to images of calibration standards containing known polyamide concentrations, then interpolate to determine the nuclear concentration in the cell. Next, we can corroborate this value with additional evidence from flow cytometry. Here, the fluorescence of live cells is compared to a calibration curve built using beads functionalized with known amounts of fluorophore, yielding the interpolated number of fluorophores per cell. Taken together, these values provide a numerical range that is not apparent from previous qualitative experiments. In this study, we investigate the nuclear concentration of two polyamide–fluorescein conjugates in two cell lines using confocal laser scanning microscopy and flow cytometry.

Polyamide synthesis. For these experiments, we wanted to examine polyamide–fluorescein conjugates that traffic strongly to the nucleus of live cells (Fig. 1). Polyamide **1** specifically targets the DNA sequence 5′-WTWCGW-3′ with an equilibrium association constant of $3.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$, while polyamide **2** binds 5′-WGGWCW-3′ with an affinity of $6.3 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (Supplementary Fig. S1). For these molecules synthesized with Boc- β -Ala-PAM resin, the 1,3-diaminopropane (C_3) linker is used to connect the polyamide and fluorescein moiety.

Polyamides **1** and **2** show positive nuclear localization in HeLa and U251 cell culture by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Fig. 1). In each of these images, the nuclear staining exceeds that of the medium, and all are qualitatively rated as ++.^{5,6} Furthermore, conjugate **1** binds to the hypoxia response element (HRE) and inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression in HeLa cells by quantitative RT-PCR, while the mismatch control

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 626 395 6002; fax: +1 626 683 8753.

E-mail address: dervan@caltech.edu (P.B. Dervan).




Figure 1. (A) Structures of polyamide–fluorescein conjugates **1** and **2**. Imidazole and pyrrole are shown as filled and non-filled circles, respectively; chlorothiophene is shown as a square; β -alanine is shown as a diamond; the 1,3-diaminopropane linker is shown as 'C₃'; and the chiral diaminobutyric acid turn residue is shown as a semicircle connecting the two subunits linked to a half-circle with a plus. (B) Confocal laser scanning microscopy images of polyamide–fluorescein conjugates **1** and **2** in HeLa and U251 cell lines. Cells were incubated with 2 μ M polyamide for 12–14 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

compound **2** does not downregulate gene expression (Supplementary Fig. S1).^{8–10}

Steady-state fluorimetry. Fluorescence enhancement is observed when polyamide–fluorophore conjugates bind DNA.^{22–25} When in solution, the fluorescence of the conjugate is largely quenched, but, upon DNA binding, sequestration of the polyamide in the minor groove restores fluorescence. The fluorescence enhancements of polyamides **1** and **2** were 14- and 36-fold, respectively, as determined by steady-state fluorimetry (Fig. 2). The fluorescent nuclei observed in confocal microscopy images appear much brighter than the background medium. Does this mean that the nuclear polyamide concentration is very high, or does the fluorescence enhancement dominate?

In order to control for this effect, calf thymus DNA was added to the medium prior to imaging. This allows the direct comparison of




Figure 2. (A) Overlaid fluorescence emission spectra for polyamides **1** (top) and **2** (bottom). The polyamide concentration is 2 μ M. Calf thymus DNA concentrations are 0, 0.001, 0.003, 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 μ M bp. (B) Confocal laser scanning microscopy images of polyamide–fluorescein conjugate **1** in HeLa cells. Cells were incubated with 2 μ M polyamide for 12–14 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. About 500 μ M bp calf thymus DNA were added 1 h prior to imaging.

polyamide concentration inside the cell nucleus and outside the cell by increasing the fluorescence of both simultaneously. This experiment generates a picture of dark cells in a background of fluorescently enhanced polyamide solution (Fig. 2). Overlay of the fluorescence and bright-field images indicates that the fluorescence in the cell nucleus is significantly lower than that of the medium. The image of the 'dark' nucleus implies that the nuclear concentration is lower than the dosage concentration.

Confocal microscopy. For the first quantitative approach, the fluorescence of cells was compared to the fluorescence of calibration standards containing known polyamide concentrations, using images taken with a confocal laser scanning microscope. After building a linear calibration curve with the known concentration




Figure 3. Sample calibration curve for confocal microscopy. Squares indicate equilibration with 500 μM bp calf thymus DNA, while diamonds indicate no calf thymus DNA control. *R*-squared values are 0.9639 (500 μM bp calf thymus DNA) and 0.9171 (no calf thymus DNA).

values, the nuclear concentration in the cell was determined by interpolation (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S2). Cells were incubated with 2 μM polyamide for 12–14 h in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$ and imaged directly. Calibration standards contained both polyamide and 500 μM bp calf thymus DNA. To investigate nuclear concentration, calf thymus DNA was included in the calibration standards to ensure that the fluorescence was turned 'on.' For these experiments, the concentration of calf thymus DNA (500 μM bp) is lower than the estimated DNA concentration in the nucleus (5 mM bp). Attempts to increase the concentration to the low mM bp range were limited by DNA solubility in medium. Similar experiments in TKMC buffer resulted in fluorescence quenching at the highest DNA concentrations. The actual DNA bp concentration in the nucleus could produce increased fluorescence enhancement and might bring the calculated polyamide concentration closer to the values observed in flow cytometry experiments. In addition, these experiments were performed on cells with mixed cell cycles, that is, these cells were not grown in synchronized culture. A minimum of three images were taken per condition, with at least ten cells from each image used for analysis.

The experiments in HeLa cells indicated that the concentration in the cell nucleus was 0.5 μM and 0.3 μM for polyamides **1** and **2**, respectively (Table 1). These values were significantly lower than the 2 μM dosage concentration, which was surprising given that the fluorescence images show the cell nucleus lighting up brightly against a dark background of cytoplasm and medium. The fluorescence signal from the nucleus exceeds the external signal even though the nuclear concentration is lower than the external concentration, as a result of fluorescence enhancement upon binding DNA.

When U251 cells were treated with 2 μM of polyamides **1** and **2**, the interpolated nuclear concentration values were 1.6 and 1.5 μM , respectively. This observation suggests that the nuclear concentration is dependent on cell line, just as it varies for each polyamide.

Table 1
Calculated nuclear concentration from confocal microscopy^a

Polyamide	Cell line	2 μM
1	HeLa	0.5 (± 0.1)
2	HeLa	0.3 (± 0.1)
1	U251	1.6 (± 0.1)
2	U251	1.5 (± 0.3)

^a Calculated nuclear concentration values reported in μM ; column headings indicate dosage concentration.

The quantitative approach indicates that U251 cells are more permissive than HeLa cells with respect to uptake of this type of molecule.

Flow cytometry. The fluorescence of polyamide-containing cells was compared to fluorescent beads in the flow cytometer, and interpolation of the linear calibration curve produces the number of fluorophores per cell (Fig. 4). Dividing this value by the volume of the nucleus yields the calculated nuclear concentration. Based on confocal microscopy images of HeLa and U251 cells, the cell nucleus was modeled as a cylinder with radius 10 μm and height 5 μm to give a calculated nuclear volume of 1×10^{-12} L. As above, cells were incubated with polyamide for 12–14 h in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$. After washing, fluorescence was measured by analyzing 10,000 cells in the flow cytometer. Under identical instrument settings, SPHERO Rainbow Calibration Particles were analyzed in the fluorescein filter set. The functionalized particles contain eight different amounts of fluorophore per bead and are designed to produce eight separate peaks. Due to the high fluorescence levels of the cells, only the five highest fluorophore peaks were resolved within the fluorescence range and used for constructing the linear calibration curve. The fluorescence intensity of each peak is correlated with a known amount of fluorophore in solution, although the quantum yield of a fluorophore can change upon binding.²⁶ For analysis, 10,000 events were used in flow cytometry experiments and calibrations.

For flow cytometry experiments, cells were dosed with three different polyamide concentrations of 0.4, 2, and 10 μM . In HeLa cells, the calculated nuclear concentrations for compound **1** were 0.02, 0.09, and 0.20 μM (Table 2). While the concentration values increase with dosage, each fivefold increase in dosage does not produce a proportional increase in nuclear concentration, and this plateau effect is more pronounced at higher dosage concentrations. In addition, these values were lower than those observed in confocal microscopy experiments. For the same dosage concentration of 2 μM , the calculated concentration from flow cytometry was 0.09 μM , which is fivefold lower than the interpolated concentration from confocal microscopy (0.5 μM). The results from experiments with compound **2** in HeLa cells are calculated nuclear concentrations of 0.04, 0.14, and 0.35 μM , indicating that uptake is polyamide core-dependent.

When U251 cells were treated with compound **1**, the calculated nuclear concentration values were 0.09, 0.34, and 0.84 μM . The relatively high nuclear concentrations support the observation that U251 cells are more permissive than HeLa cells, as seen in the above confocal microscopy experiments.

Comparison of methods. For each polyamide and cell line explored here, the confocal microscopy method produces a higher calculated nuclear concentration value than the flow cytometry method. For instance, at the 2 μM dosage level in HeLa cells, the polyamides exhibit 0.3–0.5 μM nuclear concentration by confocal microscopy, as compared to 0.09–0.14 μM by flow cytometry. However, both methods support the observation that U251 cells allow increased uptake of polyamide–fluorescein conjugates relative to HeLa cells. Overall, the calculations suggest that the concentration in the nucleus is much lower than that in the external medium. This is the opposite of what one might expect upon seeing brightly fluorescent cell nuclei with a dark background.

Both of the techniques explored here have strengths and limitations. Confocal microscopy allows data analysis from direct images of cell culture, requiring no further cell processing steps. This method also uses the same compound for calibration and imaging, as opposed to a generic fluorophore. On the other hand, flow cytometry lends itself well to the quantitative nature of this study and benefits from large sample sizes. However, the nuclear volume is not known with certainty, and the flow cytometer measures the fluorescence of the entire cell, not just the nucleus. Also, the cali-




Figure 4. (A) Flow cytometry experiment with calibration particles. (B) Sample calibration curve for flow cytometry. R -squared value is 0.9944. (C) Flow cytometry experiments with HeLa cells incubated with 0 μ M (top left), 0.4 μ M (top right), 2 μ M (bottom left), and 10 μ M (bottom right) polyamide.

bration does not take into account the fluorescence enhancement effect; therefore, the calculated nuclear concentration from flow cytometry represents an upper bound for this value.

In this study, we elaborate methods for quantitating the concentration of polyamide–fluorescein conjugates in live cells, incorporating data gathered from confocal microscopy and flow cytometry techniques. When cultured HeLa cells are treated with

Table 2

Calculated cellular concentration from flow cytometry^a

Polyamide	Cell line	0.4 μ M	2 μ M	10 μ M
1	HeLa	0.02 (± 0.01)	0.09 (± 0.03)	0.20 (± 0.06)
2	HeLa	0.04 (± 0.02)	0.14 (± 0.05)	0.35 (± 0.08)
1	U251	0.09 (± 0.04)	0.34 (± 0.23)	0.84 (± 0.41)
2	U251	0.04 (± 0.02)	0.14 (± 0.06)	0.34 (± 0.17)

^a Calculated cellular concentration values reported in μ M; column headings indicate dosage concentration.

2 μ M polyamide, the calculated nuclear concentration is between 0.09 and 0.5 μ M, suggesting that the intracellular concentration is 4- to 25-fold lower than the external concentration. As observed in previous studies, cellular uptake is cell line-dependent.^{3,5,6} Although qualitative images in HeLa and U251 cell lines both receive the same positive rating, it appears that the nuclear concentration in U251 cells is actually several-fold higher than in HeLa cells.

Experimental. Polyamides were synthesized with Boc- β -Ala-PAM resin (Peptides International) according to published manual solid-phase synthesis protocols.²⁷ The protected FmocHN- γ -turn amine was deprotected with 20% piperidine in DMF and reprotected as the Boc derivative with a solution of Boc₂O (Fluka) and DIEA in DMF. The Boc-protected resin was cleaved with 1 mL of 1,3-diaminopropane at 37 $^{\circ}$ C with agitation for 16 h. Products were purified by preparatory reverse-phase HPLC on a Beckman Gold system using either a Waters Delta-Pak 25 \times 100 mm, 15 μ m 300 \AA C₁₈ PrepPak Cartridge reverse-phase column or a Varian Dynamax 21.4 \times 250 mm Microsorb 8 μ m 300 \AA C₈ reverse-phase column in 0.1% (w/v) TFA with acetonitrile as the eluent. The appropriate fractions were lyophilized after characterization by analytical HPLC, UV-visible spectroscopy, and MALDI-TOF or ESI mass spectrometry. Conjugates were formed by reacting fluorescein-5-isothiocyanate (FITC, Invitrogen) with the polyamide in a solution of DIEA (20 equiv) and DMF for 1 h at room temperature. Conjugates were deprotected with neat TFA (Halocarbon) and triethylsilane for 30 min at room temperature before purification by preparatory reverse-phase HPLC. Lyophilization of the appropriate fractions yielded the polyamide conjugates **1** and **2**, which were characterized as described above. Extinction coefficients were calculated according to standard protocols.²⁸ Chemicals not otherwise specified were obtained from Aldrich.

CtPyPyIm-(R)H2N γ -PyImPyPy- β -C₃-FITC (1). UV-visible (H₂O) λ_{max} 310, 444 nm; ESI-MS m/z 1633.6 (C₇₆H₇₄CIN₂₂O₁₅S₂[−] calculated [M-H][−] 1633.48).

ImImPyPy-(R)H2N γ -ImPyPyPy- β -C₃-FITC (2). UV-visible (H₂O) λ_{max} 312, 444 nm; ESI-MS m/z 1597.5 (C₇₆H₇₇N₂₄O₁₅S[−] calculated [M-H][−] 1597.57).

For steady-state fluorimetry experiments, titration samples were prepared with sonicated calf thymus DNA (GE Healthcare) as serial dilutions with supplemented medium. Addition of 3 μ L of the 100 μ M polyamide solution to each dilution yielded a final polyamide concentration of 2 μ M. Fluorescence spectra were measured with a Jobin Yvon/SPEX Fluorolog spectrofluorimeter (Model FL3-11) equipped with a Hamamatsu R928 PMT. Samples were excited at 490 nm using 2 nm emission and excitation slits. Fluorescence was measured from 500 to 650 nm at room temperature.

For cell culture experiments, human cancer cell lines HeLa and U251 were cultured in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 $^{\circ}$ C in supplemented DMEM (HeLa, GIBCO) or RPMI medium 1640 (U251, GIBCO).^{5,6} All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HeLa, Omega Scientific) or 5% fetal bovine serum (U251, Omega Scientific) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution (Mediatech). HeLa cells were purchased from ATCC. U251 cells were received as a gift from Dr. Giovanni Melillo of the National Cancer

Institute.²⁹ Quantitative RT-PCR experiments were performed using HeLa cells according to published protocols.^{8,9}

For confocal microscopy experiments, cell lines were trypsinized (Mediatech) for 5 min at 37 °C, centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C at 100g, and resuspended in fresh medium to a concentration of 1.33×10^5 cells/mL.^{5,6} Incubations were performed by adding 150 μ L of cells into culture dishes equipped with glass bottoms for direct imaging (MatTek). Cells were grown in the glass-bottom dishes for 24 h. The medium was then removed and replaced with 147 μ L of fresh medium, followed by addition of 3 μ L of the 100 μ M polyamide solution for a final polyamide concentration of 2 μ M. Cells were incubated in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C for 12–14 h. Imaging was performed with a 40 \times oil-immersion objective lens on a Zeiss LSM 5 Pascal inverted laser scanning microscope. Polyamide–fluorescein conjugate fluorescence and visible-light images were obtained using standard filter sets for fluorescein.^{5,6} 12-Bit images were analyzed using Zeiss LSM and ImageJ software. Calibration standards were prepared from the 100 μ M polyamide solution as serial dilutions with fresh medium and were directly imaged in glass-bottom dishes in a volume of 150 μ L. Sonicated calf thymus DNA solution (GE Healthcare) was added to appropriate calibration standards for a final DNA concentration of 500 μ M bp.

For flow cytometry experiments, cell lines were trypsinized (Mediatech) for 5 min at 37 °C, centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C at 100g, and resuspended in fresh medium to a concentration of 1.33×10^5 cells/mL. Incubations were performed by adding 3 mL of cells into 6-well culture plates (BD Falcon). Cells were grown in the culture plates for 24 h. The medium was then removed and replaced with fresh medium, followed by addition of the appropriate volume of the 100 μ M polyamide solution for a final polyamide concentration of 0, 0.4, 2, or 10 μ M in a final volume of 3 mL. Cells were incubated in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C for 12–14 h. Following incubation, cells were trypsinized for 5 min at 37 °C, centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C at 100g, and resuspended in HBSS solution (no Mg²⁺, no Ca²⁺, no phenol red, 2.5 mg/mL BSA fraction V, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.0–7.2) (Sigma). Cell viability was checked with trypan blue stain, and >95% appeared to be viable. Cells were centrifuged again for 10 min at 4 °C at 100g and resuspended in the above HBSS solution to a concentration of 5×10^5 cells/mL. The cell suspension was pipetted through a cell strainer (BD Falcon) into a 5-mL polystyrene round-bottomed tube (BD Falcon). Samples were analyzed using a BD FACSCalibur System flow cytometer. SPHERO Rainbow Calibration Particles (Spherotech) were used as calibration standards.

Acknowledgments

We thank Shelley Diamond and the Caltech Flow Cytometry Facility for instrumentation assistance. We also thank Scott Fraser and the Beckman Institute Biological Imaging Center for helpful discussions. We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health for research support. Mass spectrometry analyses were performed in the Mass Spectrometry Facility of the Division of Chemistry and

Chemical Engineering at the California Institute of Technology. Fluorimetry measurements were performed in the Beckman Institute Laser Resource Center.

Supplementary data

Quantitative DNase I footprint titration experiments and quantitative RT-PCR experiments (Supplementary Fig. S1), confocal laser scanning microscopy images (Supplementary Fig. S2), and experimental details (Supplementary Information). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.05.063.

References and notes

- Dervan, P. B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2001**, 9, 2215.
- Dervan, P. B.; Edelson, B. S. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2003**, 13, 284.
- Belitsky, J. M.; Leslie, S. J.; Arora, P. S.; Beerman, T. A.; Dervan, P. B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2002**, 10, 3313.
- Crowley, K. S.; Phillion, D. P.; Woodard, S. S.; Schweitzer, B. A.; Singh, M.; Shabany, H.; Burnette, B.; Hippenmeyer, P.; Heitmeier, M.; Bashkin, J. K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, 13, 1565.
- Best, T. P.; Edelson, B. S.; Nickols, N. G.; Dervan, P. B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2003**, 100, 12063.
- Edelson, B. S.; Best, T. P.; Olenyuk, B.; Nickols, N. G.; Doss, R. M.; Foister, S.; Heckel, A.; Dervan, P. B. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2004**, 32, 2802.
- Xiao, X. S.; Yu, P.; Lim, H. S.; Sikder, D.; Kodadek, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 2865.
- Olenyuk, B. Z.; Zhang, G. J.; Klco, J. M.; Nickols, N. G.; Kaelin, W. G.; Dervan, P. B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2004**, 101, 16768.
- Nickols, N. G.; Jacobs, C. S.; Farkas, M. E.; Dervan, P. B. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2007**, 35, 363.
- Nickols, N. G.; Jacobs, C. S.; Farkas, M. E.; Dervan, P. B. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2007**, 2, 561.
- Nickols, N. G.; Dervan, P. B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2007**, 104, 10418.
- Fukuda, N.; Ueno, T.; Tahira, Y.; Ayame, H.; Zhang, W.; Bando, T.; Sugiyama, H.; Saito, S.; Matsumoto, K.; Mugishima, H.; Serie, K. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2006**, 17, 422.
- Trauger, J. W.; Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. B. *Nature* **1996**, 382, 559.
- White, S.; Szewczyk, J. W.; Turner, J. M.; Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. B. *Nature* **1998**, 391, 468.
- Kielkopf, C. L.; Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. D.; Rees, D. C. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1998**, 5, 104.
- Kielkopf, C. L.; White, S.; Szewczyk, J. W.; Turner, J. M.; Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. B.; Rees, D. C. *Science* **1998**, 282, 111.
- Foister, S.; Marques, M. A.; Doss, R. M.; Dervan, P. B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2003**, 11, 4333.
- Wurtz, N. R.; Dervan, P. B. *Chem. Biol.* **2000**, 7, 153.
- Kwon, Y.; Arndt, H. D.; Qian, M.; Choi, Y.; Kawazoe, Y.; Dervan, P. B.; Uesugi, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 15940.
- Stafford, R. L.; Arndt, H. D.; Brezinski, M. L.; Ansari, A. Z.; Dervan, P. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 2660.
- Cohen, J. D.; Sadowski, J. P.; Dervan, P. B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 7956.
- Rucker, V. C.; Foister, S.; Melander, C.; Dervan, P. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 1195.
- Rucker, V. C. Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 2003.
- Rucker, V. C.; Dunn, A. R.; Sharma, S.; Dervan, P. B.; Gray, H. B. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, 108, 7490.
- Chenoweth, D. M.; Viger, A.; Dervan, P. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 2216.
- SPHERO Technical Notes 8 and 9 (<http://www.spherotech.com/>).
- Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 118, 6141.
- Trauger, J. W.; Dervan, P. B. *Methods Enzymol.* **2001**, 340, 450.
- Kong, D. H.; Park, E. J.; Stephen, A. G.; Calvani, M.; Cardellina, J. H.; Monks, A.; Fisher, R. J.; Shoemaker, R. H.; Melillo, G. *Cancer Res.* **2005**, 65, 9047.